

.....
(Original Signature of Member)

119TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. RES. _____

Impeaching James E. Boasberg, United States District Court Chief Judge
for the District of Columbia, for high crimes and misdemeanors.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOVEMBER 4, 2025

Mr. GILL of Texas introduced the following bill; which was referred to the
Committee on _____

RESOLUTION

Impeaching James E. Boasberg, Chief Judge, United States
District Court for the District of Columbia, for high
crimes and misdemeanors.

- 1 *Resolved*, That James E. Boasberg, Chief Judge, United
- 2 States Court for the District of Columbia, is impeached for
- 3 high crimes and misdemeanors, and that the following article
- 4 of impeachment be exhibited to the United States Senate.
- 5 Article of impeachment exhibited by the House of
- 6 Representatives of the United States of America in the
- 7 name of itself and of the people of the United States of
- 8 America, against James E. Boasberg, who was appointed, duly

1 qualified, and commissioned to serve, during good behavior in
2 office, to be United States District Judge for the District of
3 Columbia on March 14, 2011.

4 ARTICLE I: ABUSE OF POWER

5
6 Ignoring his responsibility to wield the power of his
7 office in a constitutional manner, Chief Judge Boasberg granted
8 Special Counsel John L. Smith authorization to issue frivolous
9 nondisclosure orders in furtherance of the Federal Bureau of
10 Investigation project codenamed ARCTIC FROST. These
11 nondisclosure orders covered Members of Congress who
12 were acting in accord with their legislative duties and
13 privileges guaranteed by Article 1, Section 6, Clause 1 of the
14 U.S. Constitution. Chief Judge Boasberg authorized the issuance
15 of frivolous nondisclosure orders covering at least Senator
16 Marsha Blackburn, Senator Ted Cruz, Senator Lindsey Graham,
17 Senator Bill Hagerty, Senator Josh Hawley, Senator Ron Johnson,
18 Senator Cynthia Lummis, Senator Rick Scott, Senator Dan
19 Sullivan, Senator Tommy Tuberville, and Representative Mike
20 Kelly. These illegitimate and frivolous nondisclosure orders and
21 subpoenas threaten Members of Congress by imposing undue
22 legal scrutiny for fulfilling their constitutional duties.
23 Chief Judge Boasberg signed an order prohibiting AT&T
24 from informing Senator Cruz of his subpoena for at least one
25 year. Chief Judge Boasberg expressed that there were

1 “reasonable grounds to believe that such disclosure will result
2 in destruction of or tampering with evidence, intimidation of
3 potential witnesses, and serious jeopardy to the investigation.”

4 Chief Judge Boasberg had no clear reasonable basis to make this
5 finding about Senator Cruz, which accused him of being willing
6 to destroy evidence and intimidate witnesses in violation of
7 both the law and Senator Cruz’s ethical obligation as a member
8 of the Bar.

9 2 United States Code § 6628 states “the Office of the SAA, any
10 officer, employee, or agent of the Office of the SAA, and any
11 provider for a Senate office that is providing services to or
12 used by a Senate office shall not be barred, through operation
13 of any court order or any statutory provision, from notifying
14 the Senate office of any legal process seeking disclosure of
15 Senate data of the Senate office that is transmitted, processed,
16 or stored (whether temporarily or otherwise) through the use
17 of an electronic system established, maintained, or operated,
18 or the use of electronic services provided...” Chief Judge
19 Boasberg does not appreciate basic statute and contributed to
20 the legal inquiries that violate the law indicating he is unfit to
21 serve as Chief Judge.

22 It is unclear if Judge Boasberg facilitated the frivolous
23 subpoenas issued by Special Counsel John L. Smith which
24 covered conservative nonprofit organizations, including but
25 not limited to Conservative Partnership Institute, America
26 First Policy Institute, Women for America First, and Center for

1. Renewing America. Many of these same organizations had
2. been outspoken in opposition to various radical Biden
3. Administration policies. It appears that these subpoenas were
4. intended to silence political speech.
5. In addition, these frivolous subpoenas covered conservative
6. activists and patriotic Americans, including Jeffrey Clark, John
7. Eastman, Rudy Giuliani, Edward Corrigan, Mark Meadows
8. Cleta Mitchell, Amy Kremer, Kelli Ward, Jenna Ellis, and
9. Wesley Denton. The weaponization of the judiciary
10. compromises the separation of powers found in the U.S.
11. Constitution, and Chief Judge Boasberg's impropriety in
12. participating in the undue investigation of Members of
13. Congress casts doubt on his ability to execute his office with
14. good behavior.
15. Wherefore, Chief Judge Boasberg is guilty of high crimes and
16. misdemeanors and should be removed from office.